Policy Brief: Rethinking Evidence-Based Agricultural Policy

Manasa Sharma[1,2,3]
[1] University of East Anglia
[2] Tyndall Centre for Climate Change Research
[3] Norwich Institute for Sustainable Development

Target Audience: Agricultural policymakers, extension officers, local government bodies, development agencies, research institutions, and parliamentary committees overseeing agricultural programs

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The Government of India's **"Doubling Farmers' Income" (DFI)** initiative, launched in 2016, is a comprehensive set of policies aimed at substantially increasing agricultural earnings. In recent years, Krishi Vigyan Kendras (KVKs), or Farm Science Centres, have published reports documenting numerous success stories of farmers who have achieved this goal. Based on an analysis of these publicly available reports, this brief details **actionable recommendations** designed to strengthen the DFI policy framework. Review of program documentation and field studies also shows differences between reported results and the actual conditions experienced by smallholder farmers. These observations suggest that existing strategies for agricultural development may need to be further refined to effectively support rural progress.

Key Implementation Challenges:

- Limited accessibility of comprehensive program evaluation data
- Success narratives that may not reflect typical farmer experiences or constraints
- Documentation featuring farmers with educational and resource advantages not representative of India's broader agricultural population
- Insufficient attention to structural barriers including market access, climate vulnerability, and institutional capacity

Recommendations for Reform:

1. **Improved transparency** in program monitoring and evaluation
2. **Representative sampling** in success story documentation
3. **Structural interventions** addressing systemic barriers
4. **Community-based approaches** building on existing social capital
5. **Long-term impact assessment** beyond immediate political cycles

Effective agricultural transformation requires moving beyond narrow technical solutions to address the institutional and social foundations that determine program success or failure.

CHALLENGES IN PROGRAM DOCUMENTATION AND EVALUATION

Documentation gaps hinder independent evaluation of DFI programs, raising transparency concerns. Success stories predominantly highlight highly educated, larger landholding farmers with unrealistically high success rates and yields. These narratives lack representation of typical smallholders and fail to address input costs, market risks, and sustainability. They assume ideal conditions without considering market saturation, input expenses, environmental risks, or labour limitations, rendering them unrepresentative and potentially misleading.

STRUCTURAL CONSTRAINTS VERSUS TECHNICAL SOLUTIONS

The Education and Resource Advantage Gap

The farmers featured in success stories typically possess advantages that are not available to the majority of Indian farmers:

- **Educational Capital**: Graduate and post-graduate qualifications enable complex record-keeping, technology adoption, and market navigation
- **Land Adequacy**: Holdings of 2-5 acres allow for diversification strategies and economies of scale unavailable to marginal farmers
- **Capital Access**: Ability to invest in technology and inputs that requires financing mechanisms not accessible to resource-poor cultivators

Climate and Sustainability Challenges

Current program approaches inadequately address some important aspects of climate adaptation and environmental sustainability:

- **Individual vs. Community Approaches**: Limited emphasis on collective resource management and community-based adaptation strategies
- **Ecological Sustainability**: Insufficient attention to soil health, human wildlife conflicts, water conservation, and integrated pest management
- **Risk Management**: Weak linkages with crop insurance, weather forecasting, and contingency planning systems

---

EVIDENCE FROM COMPARATIVE FIELD RESEARCH

Regional Variations in Implementation Effectiveness

My research across different districts reveals that program success depends heavily on existing social structures and institutional capacity (See technical paper for field research methods and context):

Regions with Strong Community Organizations:

- Higher rates of sustainable income diversification
- Better access to collective marketing and value-addition opportunities
- More effective integration of traditional knowledge with new technologies
- Greater resilience to market and climate shocks

Regions with Weak Institutional Capacity:

- Limited program reach among marginalized farmers
- Higher vulnerability to market exploitation
- Reduced effectiveness of individual-focused interventions
- Persistent barriers related to caste, class, and gender

POLICY RECOMMENDATIONS

Immediate Improvements

1. Improve Program Transparency and Evaluation

- Develop standardized, publicly accessible databases for program monitoring
- Implement independent evaluation mechanisms with community participation
- Ensure representative sampling in documentation that reflects actual farmer demographics
- Create feedback systems that capture both successes and failures

2. Address Sampling and Documentation Bias

- Include farmers across the full spectrum of educational backgrounds and land holdings
- Document implementation challenges and partial successes alongside achievements
- Provide detailed cost-benefit analysis including input requirements and financing mechanisms
- Establish quality assurance processes for program documentation

Structural Reforms

3. Strengthen Institutional Delivery Systems

- Integrate existing community-based organizations into program implementation
- Build local institutional capacity rather than bypassing existing structures

- Create accountability mechanisms that measure inclusion of marginalized farmers
- Develop context-specific approaches rather than uniform technical packages

4. Address Market and Climate Realities

- Develop market analysis capacity to assess demand before promoting crop diversification
- Create community-based climate adaptation programs
- Strengthen farmer producer organizations for collective marketing
- Establish price stabilization and risk management mechanisms

5. Reform Extension and Support Systems

- Shift from individual to community-based extension approaches
- Integrate traditional knowledge systems with technical innovations
- Provide comprehensive support including credit, training, and market access
- Create specialized programs for different farmer categories based on resource capacity

Long-term Institutional Development

6. Build Evidence-Based Policy Capacity

- Strengthen research institutions' capacity for rigorous impact evaluation
- Develop long-term monitoring systems beyond political cycles
- Create partnerships with academic institutions for independent assessment
- Establish protocols for adaptive program management based on evidence

7. Address Systemic Constraints

- Implement land consolidation programs to address fragmentation challenges
- Invest in rural infrastructure including storage, processing, and transportation
- Reform market systems to reduce middlemen exploitation
- Develop comprehensive social protection systems for agricultural households

---

CONCLUSION

Technical fixes alone can't solve Indian agriculture's complex issues. Success demands structural reforms, stronger institutions, and policies based on representative evidence. Future policies need transparency, rigorous evaluation, and recognition of social and institutional factors to sustainably improve farmers' incomes. Commitment to evidence-based policymaking, even when difficult, is essential.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This analysis builds on field research conducted with farmers across multiple districts, whose experiences provided essential insights into the gap between policy intentions and ground realities. Thanks to research collaborators and academic colleagues who contributed to data collection and analysis. Special appreciation to the farming communities who shared their knowledge and time to make this research possible, Nitya Rao, Arjan Verschoor and Matt Heaton.

This work is supported by UEA Faculty of Science, Norwich Institute for Sustainable Development and funded by the Critical Decade for Climate Change Leverhulme Trust Doctoral Scholars programme (Grant reference number DS-2020-028).